

Swiss Foundation Code 2009

Principles and Recommendations
for the Establishment
and Management
of Grant-making Foundations

Thomas Sprecher
Philipp Egger
Martin Janssen

Abridged version
Adapted English translation
by Judith Safford

Foundation Governance
Edited by Swiss Foundations
Vol.9

The German and French versions have been published as Vols.5 and 6 of the book series.

The following Swiss grant-making foundations actively contributed to,
supported and financed the publication of the Swiss Foundation Code 2009:

atDta – Stiftung Hilfe zur Selbsthilfe

AVINA STIFTUNG

Fondation Leenaards

Fondation Pro Victimis

GEBERT RÜF STIFTUNG

Hasler Stiftung

UBS Kulturstiftung

Velux Stiftung

Volkart Stiftung

Zuger Kulturstiftung Landis & Gyr

Thomas Sprecher
Philipp Egger
Martin Janssen

Swiss Foundation Code 2009

Principles and Recommendations for the Establishment
and Management of Grant-making Foundations

Abridged version
Adapted English translation
by Judith Safford

Helbing Lichtenhahn Verlag

Swiss Foundations

Established in 2001, SwissFoundations is the leading association of grant-making foundations in Switzerland. An active network dedicated to innovation, it promotes and supports the exchange of experiences, transparency and professionalism amongst the country's foundations. SwissFoundations therefore contributes to the effective and sustainable application of foundation resources.



Dieses Werk ist unter einem Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine kommerzielle Nutzung-Keine Bearbeitung 2.5 Schweiz Lizenzvertrag lizenziert. Um die Lizenz anzusehen, gehen Sie bitte zu <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/>.

Swiss Foundation Code 2009

Abridged Version

The complete version, including extensive commentaries on the recommendations and appendices (model foundation regulations, glossary of terms, resources, bibliography, and contributor biographies) was published by Helbing Lichtenhahn Verlag in Basel, Switzerland (in German and French) as volumes 5 and 6, respectively of the series *Foundation Governance*, edited by Philipp Egger / SwissFoundations:

ISBN 978-3-7190-3089-6 (English)

ISBN 978-3-7190-2617-2 (German)

ISBN 978-3-7190-2832-9 (French)

Design and Typesetting: Atelier Mühlberg, Basel

Urheberrechtlicher Hinweis

Dieses Dokument steht unter einer Lizenz der Creative Commons
Namensnennung-Keine kommerzielle Nutzung-Keine Bearbeitung 2.5 Schweiz.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/>

Sie dürfen:



dieses Werk vervielfältigen, verbreiten und öffentlich zugänglich machen

Zu den folgenden Bedingungen:



Namensnennung. Sie müssen den Namen des Autors/Rechteinhabers in der von ihm festgelegten Weise nennen (wodurch aber nicht der Eindruck entstehen darf, Sie oder die Nutzung des Werkes durch Sie würden entlohnt).



Keine kommerzielle Nutzung. Dieses Werk darf nicht für kommerzielle Zwecke verwendet werden.



Keine Bearbeitung. Dieses Werk darf nicht bearbeitet oder in anderer Weise verändert werden.

Im Falle einer Verbreitung müssen Sie anderen die Lizenzbedingungen, unter welche dieses Werk fällt, mitteilen.

Jede der vorgenannten Bedingungen kann aufgehoben werden, sofern Sie die Einwilligung des Rechteinhabers dazu erhalten.

Diese Lizenz lässt die Urheberpersönlichkeitsrechte nach Schweizer Recht unberührt.

Eine ausführliche Fassung des Lizenzvertrags befindet sich unter
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/legalcode.de>

Swiss Foundations Code 2009

Acknowledgments

In October 2005, the “Swiss Foundation Code” appeared as the *first comprehensive manual of guidelines for grant-making foundations* in Europe. The exceedingly positive response demonstrated that a great need existed for such a tool.

Just three years later, a second revised and expanded edition was written. It was called the “Swiss Foundation Code 2009,” and—included a new commentary section. New dynamics in the foundation sector made a thorough revision necessary. The complete version was published in German and French, both Swiss national languages, as Volumes 5 and 6, respectively of the series *Foundation Governance*.

Once again international response was pronounced, and demand increased for an English translation. SwissFoundations is now proud to present an abridged English version of the “Swiss Foundation Code 2009”, containing the principles and recommendations for the establishment and management of grant-making foundations. The abridged translation does not, however, contain the extensive commentaries. A full English version will be published in a few years time with the *third revised and expanded edition*, which is currently under production.

I would like to express my gratitude to Philipp Egger, Judith Safford and to the translators Mayanne Wright and Christina Warren for their significant contributions to this abridged English version of the “Swiss Foundation Code 2009”.

January 2011, Basel

Dr. Beat von Wartburg
President of Swiss Foundations

Message from the Editors

Switzerland provides an ideal environment for foundations, and offers excellent conditions for their establishment and management.

The country's long-standing foundation tradition is flourishing; indeed, the foundation sector can today benefit from specialized and up-to-date expertise available from the not-for-profit and financial services sectors. Liberal legislation encourages the establishment of foundations and facilitates charitable foundation work. The Swiss political system and society place a great deal of trust in foundations. In fact, in Switzerland, grant-making foundations, i.e., endowed, non-profit, and charitable foundations, are not simply tax shelters but entities for redistributing wealth. With double the tax deductibility at the federal level, those legislative reforms affecting Swiss foundation laws that went into effect at the beginning of 2006 sent out a positive signal—contrary to the general trend of taxing financial reserves.

Potential foundation founders, donors and sponsors were offered incentives to realize their foundation goals. The establishment of a foundation and the associated release of private assets in order to benefit the general public should indeed be rewarded and facilitated. Nevertheless, a foundation's fiscal advantages come with the obligation to fulfil its mission for the public good. The goal is to achieve a fair give and take that will benefit society.

Despite their well-respected reputation, charitable foundations, even in Switzerland, have come under increasing pressure to prove their legitimacy, and this is welcomed. Given the tax privileges they enjoy while getting started as well as those they receive during the entire period of their operation, and the fact that they intervene in the dynamics of social realities, foundations are quasi-public institutions. Their activities must always be analyzed: how can a foundation achieve its purpose through goal setting? According to what criteria does a foundation regulate its actions? What does "good governance" mean for grant-making foundations?

The need to develop "best practices" for the Swiss endowment system was first explored and discussed at the 2003 annual conference of Swiss Foundations under the title "Foundations in Change". It soon became clear that one could not simply adopt guidelines from the corporate sector and apply them to foundations. Even regulatory legislation from the not-for-profit sector cannot be applied unconditionally to foundations without modification. In fact, founda-

tions vary so greatly with regard to how they are set up and operate that one set of regulations cannot govern them all, and would be arbitrary and ineffective.

The current “Swiss Foundation Code” takes into consideration the specific requirements of grant-making foundations and seeks to be clear and specific.

Since grant-making foundations usually enjoy sufficient assets to achieve their purpose and mission, they do not depend on the outside world. This makes the issue of governance all the more important because no proprietary interests exist to serve as a counterpoint to management. In non grant-making foundations and other charitable organizations, the founder, might provide such a counterpoint, and certain market controls may also play a role. Contrary to this, grant-making foundations answer only to themselves.

This situation places particular demands on the organization and leadership of grant-making foundations when it comes to effectively achieving their purpose and ensuring a system of checks and balances and transparency.

We hope that the “Swiss Foundation Code” will prove to be a helpful orientation guide to new foundations as well as a useful tool for long-standing foundations in their continued development.

Thomas Sprecher, Philipp Egger, Martin Janssen

Contents

Introduction	12
Principles	17
I Effective Implementation of the Foundation's Purpose	17
II Checks and Balances	18
III Transparency	18
Recommendations	19
Establishment	23
1 The Founder	25
2 Foundation Documents	26
Leadership	27
1 The Foundation's Board of Trustees	29
1.1 Responsibilities	29
1.2 Election, Composition and Remuneration	30
1.3 Operations	32
1.4 Committees	33
1.5 Regulating Conflicts of Interest	34
1.6 Information and Communication	35
2 Management	36
3 Auditing Agency	37
4 Additional Foundation Bodies	38
Grant-making	39
1 Grant-making Policy and Strategies	40
2 Distribution of Foundation Grants	41
3 Project Selection	42
4 Project Implementation	43

Finances	44
1 Procuring Financial Resources	46
2 Investing Foundation Assets	47
3 Determining and Implementing an Investment Strategy	48
4 Evaluation the Results of Investments	50
5 Establishing the Investment Organization	51
6 Financial Management of the Foundation	52
Overview International Foundation Codes	53

Introduction

Origin and First Edition

At the beginning of 2004, “SwissFoundations”, the Association of Swiss Grant-making Foundations, mandated a task force to compile a guide containing recommendations for the establishment and management of Swiss foundations.

Input was gathered from several different foundation consultants and representatives, regulatory authorities, universities, organizations and businesses in the spring of 2005 for the first draft of a “Code of Best Practices”. This input served to determine the fundamental direction the code would take. It included specific suggestions regarding the degree of detail it should have, the function of management, and the issue of remuneration for boards of trustees.

In the autumn of 2005, the “Swiss Foundation Code”¹, a concise tri-lingual work made up of 3 principles and 22 recommendations, was published. Interest in this first comprehensive code of conduct for foundations in Europe² was so avid that within a short period of time, a second edition of the Code had to be printed.

Focus on Grant-making Foundations

The “Swiss Foundation Code” targets what are referred to as grant-making foundations, i.e., foundations with ample assets and sufficient income to support and further their own or third-party projects. They are not subject to owner or market controls, and thus, are *independent of the “outside world”*. How-

1 HOFSTETTER, Karl/SPRECHER, Thomas: Swiss Foundation Code, Recommendations for the establishment and management of grant-making foundations, Helbing & Lichtenhahn, Basel/Geneva/Munich 2005, Buchreihe Foundation Governance, Bd. 2

2 In addition to the *Swiss Foundation Code*, there is also the *Swiss NPO-Code for organisations that rely on fundraising*: In 2003, the Conference of Presidents of Large Humanitarian and Relief Organisations formed a task force and developed a code. Published in 2006, this *Swiss NPO-Code* is intended for the governing bodies of large non-profit organizations, including foundations and associations: www.swiss-npocode.ch. For those organizations adhering to the Swiss NPO-Code, there is a *binding obligation* to “comply or explain”.

ever, even though the Code primarily addresses the requirements of grant-making foundations, other types of foundations should be able to gather *relevant ideas* from it.

Large, Medium-sized and Small Grant-making Foundations

Even among grant-making foundations, needs and organizational structures vary. While the principles of the Code require *unlimited and unrestricted* application, the *recommendations* are primarily geared towards large and medium-sized foundations. For smaller foundations, the recommendations should be adapted to *actual circumstances*.

Basically, all foundations have to satisfy the same requirements for best practices. However, actual measures should be developed that are specific to a foundation and appropriate for its size, and of course, the simplest possible organizational structure should be used. “Good governance” is not an end in itself but serves to ensure the efficient and effective achievement of the foundation’s purpose.

Consideration of International Conditions

International conditions have been taken into consideration. Even though statutory regulations from one country to the next and national foundation cultures vary, many similarities do exist. By implication this ensures, on the one hand, that a national manual of guidelines includes an international dimension and can, to a certain extent, be expanded to other countries. On the other hand, it is clear that rules from other countries cannot simply be applied to Swiss grant-making foundations.

Raising Awareness in the Founder and the Board of Trustees

“Good governance” in the foundation sector depends on the integrity and judgment of the *accountable persons*. Accordingly, the “Swiss Foundation Code” should raise awareness in the foundation’s leadership—primarily in that of *members on the foundation’s board of trustees*. The Code’s primary goal is to promote an effective, efficient and transparent attainment of the founder’s intent and the foundation’s purpose. Its application should create trust among all target groups and/or stakeholders of the foundation, among founders and sponsors as well as among recipients, regulatory authorities, and the general public.

Doing the Right Thing—the “Constitution” of the Foundation

Without a doubt, doing things correctly (practical foundation management) is important, even for a grant-making foundation. However, it is essential that the *right things* be done. Therefore, the “Swiss Foundation Code” refers primarily to the “constitution” of a foundation and less to its operating activities; in other words, it does not present management guidelines.³ Its principles and recommendations are aimed at the foundation’s policies and strategies, i.e., *basic determinations* regarding its establishment, management, grant-making activities and finances.

Recommendatory in Nature

The “Swiss Foundation Code” is *recommendatory* in nature. With regard to self-regulation, it should motivate foundations to monitor and examine, and, if need be, improve their governance. For legal experts it can serve as an *interpretation tool*. Because grant-making foundations create their own “foundation governance” guidelines, they pre-empt the call for stronger national regulations, which could paralyze the productive environment Switzerland’s foundations currently enjoy. The “Swiss Foundation Code” should by no means lead to unnecessary bureaucracy. The Code also gives each grant-making foundation the option to take full *advantage of the available flexibility*. This is why it is not subject to the strict precept of “comply or explain”.

Consideration for All Aspects of a Foundation

A fundamental distinction must be made between the decisions that a *founder* makes while establishing a foundation and those that are to be made by the foundation’s *board of trustees*, once the foundation has been established. The “Swiss Foundation Code” is therefore arranged into four chapters: “Establishment”, “Leadership”, “Grant-making”, and “Finances”.

Nevertheless, during its establishment, a founder should become informed about more than just setting the foundation’s course. He or she should—also

3 LANG, Niklas/SCHNIEPER, Peppi: Professionelles Management von Stiftungen, Ein Leitfaden für Stiftungspraktiker, Helbing Lichtenhahn Verlag, Basel 2008, Buchreihe Foundation Governance, Bd.4

VON SCHNURBEIN, Georg/TIMMER, Karsten: Die Förderstiftung, Strategie – Führung – Management, Helbing Lichtenhahn Verlag, Basel 2010, Buchreihe Foundation Governance, Bd.7

consider all other recommendations concerning the structure of the foundation to be established, thereby ensuring that initial decisions coincide with future management, operational and financial policies.

Conversely, the board of trustees of existing foundations should delve deeply into the principles of their foundations. Those aspects which are relevant during the establishment of the foundation should also be considered in the later phases of its existence.

Comments Section

A Comments Section is included in the *French and German versions* of the Code. This commentary provides substantiating and practice-related explanations for the principles and recommendations and shows possible courses of action for specific situations, questions and problems.

Development of the Code

Since the first edition of the Code, a small, concerted group (later to become the editorial team of the “Swiss Foundation Code 2009”) provided numerous suggestions. In particular financial recommendations were amended and rendered more precise. Likewise, the principle of transparency was broadened to include the requirement of foundation communication.

The original structure of the Code was retained. In order to emphasize the respective *central requirements*, a thematic introduction was added at the beginning of each of the four chapters.

Interdisciplinary in Nature

One of the criticisms made of the first edition of the Code was that no clear distinction between *legal obligations* and *recommendations* was made. It should be noted that the recommendations are not based solely on legal constraints but at times, implicitly reiterate the law. In such cases, they are therefore not only “recommendations” but also statutory obligations. However, the focus of the recommendations is not merely legalistic. When, for example, the Code recommends that the board *lead the foundation*, the legal requirement that the board of trustees be assigned the main governing responsibility of the foundation is enhanced by the idea of *active leadership*.

In all regards, the Code should be of *practical relevance*. Therefore, at times it repeats the statutory basics and at others, omits them where irrelevant to the Code’s purpose.

Swiss Foundation Code 2009

Revision and further development of the “Swiss Foundation Code” and its introductory and explanatory comments sections will occur from time to time in the future. Therefore, the Code now carries a year designation enabling the *current version* to be easily recognized.

The German, French, and English versions of the “Swiss Foundation Code” can now also be accessed on the SwissFoundations’ homepage (www.swiss-foundations.ch). None of these versions, however, contains the comments section.

Principles

Interaction

The highest *standard* for all foundation activities⁴ is based on three sole principles. These principals are *essential and indispensable* for ensuring best foundation practices and interact in a reciprocal manner: a foundation whose activities (grant-making, mentoring and advocacy) do not consistently and *concurrently* adhere to all three principles does not fulfil the requirements placed on modern foundation management.

I EFFECTIVE REALIZATION OF THE FOUNDATION'S PURPOSE

The foundation is obligated to realize the foundation purpose, as established by the founder, in the most efficient and effective manner possible.

A foundation is obligated to achieve its purpose, as established by its founder, in the most efficient and effective manner possible.

The starting and reference point of all foundation activities is the founder's intent. Foundation management has a legal and fiduciary duty to implement the intention of the founder and thereafter reinterpret it periodically to meet changing requirements through the passage of time. The more efficiently and effectively this occurs, the better the founder's mandate, as stipulated in the foundation's statutes and purpose, will be fulfilled. This applies to the foundation's organization, its project grant-making activities, and to the management of the foundation's assets.

⁴ When the *foundation's actions* are cited, these actions refer not only to those of the board of trustees, but also to those of *executive management* and *all other foundation officers*.

II CHECKS AND BALANCES

Using appropriate organizational procedures, a foundation ensures sound leadership and monitoring of that leadership in all its main operations and decisions.

A foundation has no members or partners, nor does it have a controlling body, as seen in general meetings of associations or public corporations. A foundation does not belong to any third parties, and is accountable only unto itself. Therefore, it must ensure a separation of the powers that lead it, on the one hand, and those that regulate its management on the other. Since the responsibility for leading the foundation falls to the board of trustees, the board is also responsible for foundation management—including the management of itself.

III TRANSPARENCY

In keeping with its purpose, a foundation fosters the highest degree of transparency possible regarding its principles, goals, structures and activities.

As a rule, grant-making organizations are granted tax concessions, and in carrying out their activities, intervene in society. As part of society, foundations play a role extending beyond that of the foundations' own interests. In their dealings and activities, foundations must therefore consider and respect not only internal but also external demands of transparency; they should inform the public in a suitable manner and the best they can of their activities.

Recommendations

Consistency

The 26 recommendations of the “Swiss Foundation Code” should be considered in light of the three principles for good foundation activity. Each recommendation should be applied in compliance with these three guiding ideas.

Overview

1	Examination of the Founder’s Intent	25
	The founder should formulate his or her intent and determine whether a foundation is a suitable vehicle for achieving this goal.	
2	Determining the Foundation’s Legal Domicile	25
	The founder should determine where the foundation has its legal domicile and which regulatory authority is to govern it.	
3	Establishing the Fundamentals	26
	In addition to the foundation’s charter, the founder should also establish one or several regulations and/or guidelines. Furthermore, he or she should define a mission statement to remain valid for the first few years of the foundation’s existence.	
4	The Function of the Board of Trustees	29
	The board of trustees should lead the foundation.	
5	Election of the Board of Trustees	30
	Unless otherwise determined by the foundation’s charter, the board of trustees should establish procedures for the election and the recall of its members, Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.	
6	Number of Members on the Board of Trustees	30
	As a rule, the board of trustees should be composed of five to seven members; a minimum of three members is the usual practice. Board members should possess the abilities and time to fulfil their responsibilities and periodically undergo further educational training, as required.	

7	Remuneration for Members of the Board of Trustees	31
	Members of the board of trustees should be appropriately remunerated, provided that the foundation's resources prove sufficient. Board members should not serve on a voluntary basis.	
8	Organization of the Board of Trustees	32
	The board of trustees should organize itself. It should specify effective working methods and procedures to govern its activities as described in its rules of procedure.	
9	Role of the Chairperson of the Board of Trustees	32
	The chairperson should lead the board of trustees.	
10	Forming Committees	33
	The board of trustees should determine whether permanent or ad hoc committees are formed for specific tasks and projects, or for monitoring certain risks.	
11	Regulating Conflicts of Interest	34
	The board of trustees should define regulations in case of conflicts of interest.	
12	Providing Information to the Public	35
	The foundation should inform the public about its principles, grant-making activities and procedures.	
13	Function of Management	36
	Management should run the general operations of the foundation.	
14	Function of the Auditing Agency	37
	As a general principle, the board of trustees should not assign the auditing agency any task beyond its statutory obligations.	
15	Advisors and Consultants	38
	The board of trustees should appoint permanent or ad hoc advisors, advisory committees, or other foundation bodies as needed.	

16	Establishing Foundation Policy	40
	The board of trustees should establish grant-making policy and strategies and ensure compliance with the foundation's investment policy and strategies.	
17	Effective Grant-making	41
	A foundation's grant funds should be used in an efficient, effective and timely manner.	
18	Evaluation of Proposed Projects	42
	The board of trustees should determine procedures, areas of competence, and responsibilities to govern the evaluation and acceptance of external as well as internal projects.	
19	Project Monitoring	43
	Projects should be monitored.	
20	Allocation of Grant-making Funds	46
	The board of trustees should ensure a legitimate source of funding and guarantee a regular cash flow from the foundation's assets.	
21	The Investment Process	47
	The investment of a foundation's assets should follow an explicit investment policy and investment strategy, thereby enabling foundation goals to be achieved efficiently.	
22	Foundation-Specific Investment Strategies	48
	The investment strategy should reflect the foundation's capacity and willingness to take certain risks, with the board of trustees committing specific percentages of the foundation's assets to different risk levels through appropriate investments.	
23	Asset Management Based on Competitive Market Principles	49
	While observing liquidity requirements, the foundation's investment strategy should be implemented at minimal cost and maximum performance. For this purpose, prior to investing, proposals should be sought from various asset managers, regardless of the amount of capital to be invested.	

24 Examination of Asset Investments	50
Asset investment results should be regularly examined by the foundation's board of trustees as part of a systematic investment review.	
25 The Investment Plan	51
The board of trustees should establish an investment plan that corresponds to the need to invest the foundation's assets efficiently.	
26 Financial Management	52
The board of trustees should administer the financial management of asset investment, budget planning, and the rendering of accounts.	

Establishment

Founder's Freedom

The law guarantees what is referred to as *founder's freedom*. This allows the founder to establish a foundation in the first place and to define its structure in compliance with prevailing legal stipulations. It is in the interest of the Swiss foundation sector and therefore, also in the joint interest of its stakeholders, that this freedom *be upheld*.

Nevertheless, founder's freedom also means *obligations*. The creation of any foundation should be done using professional expertise (e.g., legal experts). Prior to its establishment a foundation incurs considerable expenses in both time and money. However, these are well worthwhile. It is through careful preparation that the founder clarifies his or her intentions which makes it easier to achieve them in the future. Without such preparation every subsequent amendment, will be difficult and costly—if legally permissible at all. Moreover, only thorough preparation and critical examination will assure that an independent foundation will be a suitable legal organization for achieving the founder's intent.

Role of the Founder

The creation of a foundation does not grant the founder any particular rights. The foundation becomes legally *independent* of its founder once established. In particular, foundation's charter can no longer be altered by the founder, by the board of trustees, or by third parties.

The founder may, however, *exert significant influence* during the establishment period of the foundation, and must be aware that s/he may not exert undue influence on the foundation once this period is over. Latest, after the founder's demise, the foundation will exist independently of its original ownership. Thus the founder's role is to influence the most important phases of a foundation's development and then entrust it to those persons mandated by him or to her be capable and trustworthy successors. Successors must then be capable of reinterpreting the foundation's purpose, as determined by the founder, and adapting it to the circumstances of the day in order to invigorate the foundation and achieve a *positive impact on society*.

Independence of the Foundation

Despite its legal *independence*, a grant-making foundation—especially a smaller one—is, in practice, often vulnerable to *direct and indirect dependence* on certain individuals (e.g. members of the board of trustees), professionals and private companies (e.g. banks) that may place their own interests before those of the foundation. However, if the founder is aware of such risks from the outset, s/he can take *appropriate precautions*, such as seeking expert advice, and thus ensure the long-term success of the foundation.

The Founder's Heirs

Assets bequeathed to a foundation by the founder cannot be inherited by his or her heirs. In certain cases, heirs may, however, contest a foundation's establishment. To avoid this, a founder can have what is referred to as an *inheritance renunciation agreement* with his or her heirs that includes or excludes certain assets. Nevertheless, a loss of assets can evoke a sense of loss among heirs. On occasion, as compensation for the decreased inheritance arising from a foundation's establishment, a founder will decree that direct heirs be placed on the board of trustees or that future descendants be allowed to serve.

In keeping with good foundation practices, over the long-term it may prove *problematic* to tie a non-profit foundation to one family. The democratic principle of selecting members to the board of trustees based on their competencies and performance generally furnishes better results than the *dynastic desire for a successor to the throne*.

However, following the demise of the founder, there is nothing, in principle, that speaks against family members joining the board of trustees, provided they have *the necessary skills* and are willing to be actively involved.

1 The Founder

RECOMMENDATION 1 EXAMINATION OF THE FOUNDER'S INTENT

The founder should formulate his or her intent and determine whether a foundation is a suitable vehicle for achieving this goal.

- Before establishing a foundation, one thing the founder should consider is whether a societal need exists, whether an independent foundation is the appropriate legal vehicle, and whether there are enough available assets for achieving the foundation's intended purpose.
- The founder should examine whether the foundation ought to be permanent or exist for only a limited time. If a foundation is to exist permanently, the founder should establish a framework for electing and periodically replacing members of the board of trustees.
- The founder should express his or her intent primarily by defining the foundation's purpose, assets, and organization which must all be consistent with one another.

RECOMMENDATION 2 DETERMINING THE FOUNDATION'S LEGAL DOMICILE

The founder should determine where the foundation has its legal domicile and which regulatory authority is to govern it.

- In principle, the foundation should have its legal domicile in the same location where its main grant-making activities take place.
- During the establishment of the foundation, tax implications should be evaluated and, specifically, the possibility of tax exemptions for the foundation be investigated.
- During the establishment of the foundation, the founder clarifies which authorities are responsible for and oversee the foundation.

2 Foundation Documents

RECOMMENDATION 3 ESTABLISHING THE FUNDAMENTALS

In addition to the foundation's charter, the founder should also establish one or several regulations and/or guidelines. Furthermore, he or she should define a mission statement to remain valid for the first few years of the foundation's existence.

- The founder's long-term intentions should be expressed in the foundation's charter.
- Rules that need to be adaptable should be expressed in other regulations and/or guidelines.
- Especially where the foundation's purpose has been broadly defined, the founder should provide a mission statement at the time of the foundation's establishment. The mission statement serves as a strategic guideline to be followed by the foundation's board of trustees and should be periodically re-examined.

Leadership

The Foundation's Board of Trustees Bears both a Legal and Moral Responsibility

Neither the founder nor the regulatory authorities hold primary responsibility for the foundation; it is held by its *board of trustees*. Within the framework of the foundation charter, the board must determine *all foundation activities*. Leadership by the board should thus refer to all areas of a foundation, not only to its organization, but also to its support activities (grant-making, mentoring and advocacy) as well as its finances.

The foundation's board of trustees is the sole *guarantor of good foundation governance*. This responsibility cannot be delegated.

The Highest Degree of Integrity is Demanded of the Foundation's Board of Trustees

In general, the foundation's board of trustees has at its disposal, *full decision-making* authority with regard to investments and the allocation of support funds—without being subjected to an owner's controls or market considerations. Furthermore, the foundation's board of trustees shall basically determine its own remuneration and, as a rule, elect itself according to the co-optation principle. Internally, within the organization, the foundation's board of trustees will check and control itself and serve as its *own supervisory body*.

This enormous power and freedom to act shapes how grant-making foundations govern themselves and places *specific ethical requirements* on the foundation's board of trustees, both collectively and individually.

Members of the foundation's board of trustees should not perceive their roles as that of agents or lobbyists for specific beneficiaries or partners, but rather see themselves as decision-makers in charge of ensuring the foundation's purpose. Within the scope of its foundation work, the board does not pursue its own interests, but always acts in the best interest of the foundation. Grant-making foundations are not tax-exempt instruments of self-service.

Foundation Leadership is an Entrepreneurial Task

As a rule, the foundation's board of trustees cannot change the purpose of a foundation. It must implement and achieve it, by examining current social conditions and *defining corresponding objectives*. Its activity is, in essence, *entrepreneurial* and not administrative in nature. In order to achieve a foundation's mission, its board of trustees must see itself as "social entrepreneurs".

With the funds entrusted to them, they should achieve the greatest possible effect. This is how a foundation's performance will be judged.

In keeping with its individual purpose, a foundation should have a measurable positive effect. Grant-making should be seen as investment. A grant-making foundation should ask, just as an entrepreneur would, "What will the return on this investment be?" The arbitrary philanthropic disposal of money often (mis)understood as a "founder activity" is not a model for *timely foundation work*.

Division of Leadership Levels

As a rule, the foundation's board of trustees will engage management staff that is *separate and distinct* from the foundation. This is indispensable for medium-sized and large foundations; whereas for smaller foundations, this is often neither possible nor practical. Management involves the administration of the foundation and directing the staff of a strategically-oriented board of trustees. While the board is limited to strategic decisions, management can prepare the information the board requires in order to make decisions. Naturally, the addition of a management team does not relieve the foundation's board of trustees of its leadership responsibility.

1 The Foundation's Board of Trustees

1.1 Responsibilities

RECOMMENDATION 4

THE FUNCTION OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

The board of trustees should lead the foundation.

- Within the framework of the foundation charter, the foundation's board of trustees should make decisions independently and on its own authority. If the founder is a member of the board, this does not relieve the remaining members of the board from having autonomous and independent opinions or judgment.
- Using the foundation charter as a basis, the board should periodically redefine foundation policies through its mission statement.
- The board of trustees should determine the strategy for implementing the foundation's financial goals, supervise the strategy's implementation and evaluate the short-, medium- and long-term balance between goals and resources.
- The foundation's board of trustees should periodically examine the organization of the foundation. This includes evaluating management's performance and the performance of the board itself.

1.2 Election, Composition and Remuneration

RECOMMENDATION 5 ELECTION OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

To the extent not dictated by the foundation's charter, the board of trustees should establish procedures for the election and recall of its members, Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.

- Members of the foundation's board of trustees should hold terms of office of two to five years.
- The foundation's board of trustees should plan its succession. In view of this, it should consider introducing limitations to terms of office as well as age.

RECOMMENDATION 6 NUMBER OF MEMBERS ON THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

As a rule, the board of trustees should be composed of five to seven members; a minimum of three members is the usual practice. Board members should possess the abilities and time to fulfil their responsibilities and periodically undergo further educational training, as required.

- To the extent to which this is not dictated by the foundation's charter, the foundation's board of trustees should lay down in its regulations or guidelines, the number of members as well as the skills and competencies required of members in order to be elected. Management staff may not be elected to the board but should participate in its meetings and have an advisory voice and function.
- The composition of a foundation's board of trustees should be well balanced.
- The board should provide appropriate orientation for new members and continued training for all members in areas corresponding to their respective duties.

RECOMMENDATION 7**REMUNERATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

Members of the board of trustees should be appropriately remunerated, provided that the foundation's resources prove sufficient and that the Board members cannot serve on a voluntary basis.

- Even though it may be desirable for a foundation's board members to perform their duties on a voluntary basis, board members may demand remuneration for professional services.
- Remuneration of a foundation's board members should be agreed upon in writing. In principle, remuneration should depend on the task, effort involved, the individual's skill, experience, and performance, as well as the foundation's available funds.

1.3 Operations

RECOMMENDATION 8

ORGANIZATION OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

The board of trustees should organize itself. It should specify effective working methods and procedures to govern its activities as described in its rules of procedure.

- A foundation's board of trustees should fulfil its responsibilities and tasks primarily during board meetings. It should convene at least twice a year and more frequently, should the demands of the foundation require so. Members of the foundation's board should arrange their schedules so they can participate in board meetings.
- A foundation's board should coordinate notification and carrying out of special foundation board meetings.
- Decision-making processes should be coherent and easy to comprehend. Resolutions should be recorded in the minutes.
- With regard to important business matters, the foundation's board should determine when external, independent experts should be consulted.

RECOMMENDATION 9

ROLE OF THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

The Chairperson should lead the board of trustees.

- The Chairperson should provide the drive behind the foundation's board of trustees' efforts toward continued strategic development.
- The Chairperson's duties, areas of competence, responsibilities, and terms of office should be laid out in the foundation's regulations or guidelines.
- The Chairperson should moderate board meetings, oversee preparations for each meeting, and make sure board members receive timely and appropriate information relevant to each meeting.
- The Chairperson should ensure that proper procedures are followed during deliberations, in making decisions and in implementing those decisions.
- As a rule, the Chairperson should serve as the contact person between the board and management.

1.4 Committees

RECOMMENDATION 10 FORMING COMMITTEES

The board of trustees should determine whether permanent or ad hoc committees are formed for specific tasks and projects or for monitoring certain risks.

- The boards of trustees of larger foundations in particular should determine whether project committees or committees related to oversight for finance/asset investment, grant-making, areas of activity, human resources, remuneration, etc. should be formed. These may be permanent or ad hoc committees.
- The make up, duties, areas of competence, and responsibilities of committees should be laid out by the board of trustees in the foundation's regulations or guidelines.
- External committee members should maintain high levels of independence. In particular, they should not have a close relationship to any employee whom they are supervising, to contractors, or to beneficiaries.

1.5 Regulating Conflicts of Interest

RECOMMENDATION 11

REGULATING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The board of trustees should define regulations in case of conflicts of interest.

- Those persons who may have an ongoing personal or institutional conflict of interest should not serve on either the foundation's board of trustees or as a member of foundation management.
- Members of the board of trustees and other foundation staff should avoid possible conflicts of interest in their relationships to the degree possible. Any possible conflicts of interest should be disclosed to the foundation's board, and if applicable, in its annual report.
- Any person who might have a potential conflict of interest must recuse him or herself from matters concerning that particular subject.
- Important business transactions by the foundation with members of the staff, board or persons having a close relationship to them should be conducted according to the same professional standards as those applied to third parties: "at arms' length". Such business transactions are to be included and accounted for in the annual report.

1.6 Information and Communication

RECOMMENDATION 12 PROVIDING INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC

The foundation should inform the public about its principles, grant-making activities and procedures.

- The foundation should inform the public about its purpose, grant-making policy, strategy and structure in an appropriate manner.
- The foundation should explain its areas of activity and projects.
- Goals, guidelines and procedures governing grant-making activities should be made available to the public via a website.
- The foundation should cultivate positive relationships and communication with its beneficiaries. It should provide foundation contact information to members and other interested parties (government, media, and the public).

2 Management

RECOMMENDATION 13
FUNCTION OF MANAGEMENT

Management should run the general operations of the foundation.

- The board of trustees should hire and supervise management, which runs the foundation's operations. The board should also determine the skills, experience, duties, responsibilities, and compensation for management.
- Management's responsibilities should include preparing documents and materials for the development of foundation policies, strategies, and grant-making activities.
- If a foundation board member completely or partially carries out any management duties, the foundation's board should provide oversight.

3 Auditing Agency

RECOMMENDATION 14 FUNCTION OF THE AUDITING AGENCY

As a general principle, the board of trustees should not assign the auditing agency any task beyond its statutory obligations.

- In particular, the auditing agency should not manage the assets of the foundation.
- The board of trustees should periodically replace the auditing agency or at least the head auditor assigned to the task.

4 Additional Foundation Bodies

RECOMMENDATION 15 ADVISORS AND CONSULTANTS

The board of trustees should appoint permanent or ad hoc advisors, advisory committees, or other foundation bodies as needed.

- Advisors, advisory committees and other foundation bodies should be employed if the board of trustees cannot carry out certain tasks itself, if specialized skills are necessary, or if an additional supervisory body proves to be necessary.
- Tasks, areas of expertise, and the responsibilities of advisors, advisory committees or other foundation bodies should be established in its regulations or guidelines.
- Recommendations regarding remuneration and independence should be the same for advisors, advisory committees and other foundation bodies as those that apply to board members.

Grant-making

Efficient and Effective Allocation of Resources

A foundation achieves its purpose through its grant-making, mentoring and advocacy, which should be as *efficient and effective* as possible. The board of trustees should make every attempt to avoid redundancies in the allocation of resources.

Self-reflection and Positioning

In its grant-making activities, the board of trustees should reflect upon the role the foundation plays within the Swiss, and possibly international, endowment sector and its relationship to public grant-making activities.

Dependability

The board of trustees is responsible for ensuring that a foundation be perceived as a *reliable and dependable partner* by avoiding even the appearance of arbitrariness, unreliability, unpredictability, and self-serving behaviour in its grant-making activities. It should make sure that grant-making strategies are made public and remain consistent in the medium-term, and that their implementation in terms of grant-making criteria and decisions be *comprehensible and predictable*. The credibility of a grant-making foundation is also increases when the amount of grant disbursements is based on consistent annual budgets rather than on fluctuations in the capital market.

1 Grant-making Policy and Strategies

RECOMMENDATION 16 ESTABLISHING FOUNDATION POLICY

The board of trustees should establish grant-making policy and strategies and ensure compliance with the foundation's investment policy and strategies.

- Grant-making policy and strategies should be consistent and documented in writing in order to provide a reference framework.
- Grant-making and investment policies should be coordinated with one another.
- Grant-making policy and grant-making strategies should be periodically re-evaluated. They should take into consideration society's needs as well as the activities of other private and public grant-making institutions.
- The board of trustees should determine mid-term goals, as well as possibilities for collaboration.

2 Distribution of Foundation Grants

RECOMMENDATION 17 EFFECTIVE GRANT-MAKING

A foundation's grant funds should be used in an efficient, effective and timely manner.

- In accordance with its investment strategies, the board of trustees should determine distributable foundation income and disburse available funds in a timely manner.
- A foundation should apply the same principles as businesses to its grant-making activities. Through communication with other private and public grant-making institutions, it should avoid redundant funding and strive to operate efficiently to ensure an optimal ratio between administrative costs and grant-making activities.
- The foundation should monitor the efficiency of its grant-making activities by means of established criteria.

3 Project Selection

RECOMMENDATION 18
EVALUATION OF PROPOSED PROJECTS

The board of trustees should determine procedures, areas of competence, and responsibilities to govern the evaluation and acceptance of external as well as internal projects.

- The evaluation and selection of projects should comply with grant-making guidelines.
- The foundation should ensure that competent persons process project applications in an objective and timely manner, and should consider using committees or outside consultants.

4 Project Implementation

RECOMMENDATION 19 PROJECT MONITORING

Projects should be monitored.

- Once a grant has been awarded, a foundation should conclude a contract with the beneficiary for the duration of the project. The foundation should define the terms of the contract.
- A foundation can attach conditions to the endowment and should monitor the fulfilment of those conditions.
- When monitoring projects, a foundation should evaluate its grant-making policy, strategy, and criteria as well as the effectiveness of the resources allocated.

Finances

Financial Management

The board of trustees is responsible for a foundation's *financial management*. A lack of knowledge, interest or time does not protect the board from penalty or relieve it from its obligations. This responsibility involves asset investments and budget planning as well as complete and transparent reporting of accounts.

Importance of Asset Investment

The responsible, cost-conscious and professional investment of a foundation's assets is the backbone of a foundation's performance. The board of trustees should dedicate itself to this task with the same diligence it would demonstrate for a grant-making activity. The board is responsible for ensuring that assets be optimally managed, and done so in the best interests of the foundation. This responsibility should remain with the board, although it may consult external experts. If a foundation neglects the investment side of its balance sheet or if funds are not managed professionally, then the board of trustees has neglected its duties.

Asset Investment Independence

The foundation should remain *independent* in its asset investments. Independence is measured by the degree of transparency, the impartiality of investment managers and banks, and the competition among potential providers encouraged by a foundation when seeking asset management. Should a bank representative be a member of the board of trustees, the principles of transparency, disclosure and for avoiding conflicts of interest, as well as competition should receive particular attention. If need be, the bank representative should withdraw from participating in certain resolutions.

"All-inclusive solutions" *should be avoided*; for example, a solution in which a supplier of financial services provides investment policy and strategies for a foundation, selects the investments, and also evaluates his or her own performance. If a foundation makes an exception based on *well-founded reasons* and chooses such an all-inclusive solution, then close *monitoring* and periodic *comparisons* with other market offers should occur.

External Advisors

Even if the board of trustees has members with up-to-date, theoretically sound and professional investment knowledge, it is still *recommended* that an independent and competent external advisor be consulted, who will formulate investment policy and strategies, carry out the process of mandating a financial institution with foundation asset management under competitive conditions, monitor the asset manager's work and corresponding results, and periodically examine investment strategies.

The independence, competence and performance of financial consultants should be periodically examined.

Foundation Asset Management

In keeping with the highest standards of foundation business, similar principles apply to procuring financial resources, investing a foundation's assets and ensuring sound financial management. These are:

- efficient use of financial resources (efficiency);
- separation of management activities; e.g., management should not both control investments and evaluate investment results (checks and balances); and
- transparency.

If a foundation manages its capital assets in the form of real estate, art objects, companies, etc., the principles mentioned above should also apply.

Investment Policy and Procedures

Keeping the foundation's purpose in mind, the board of trustees should specify the expected cash flow, the foundation's liquidity requirements, investment risks, as well as the risk of not achieving the foundation's overall goals when investing the foundation's assets. The investment of a foundation's assets should adhere to an *explicitly regulated investment process*. According to the size and goals of the foundation, the board of trustees should appoint an appropriate investment organization in order to avoid conflicts of interests and to *observe* the "iron-clad" rules of investment (liquidity of holdings, diversification of financial assets, avoiding uncompensated investment risks).

1 Procuring Financial Resources

RECOMMENDATION 20 ALLOCATION OF GRANT-MAKING FUNDS

The board of trustees should ensure a legitimate source of funding and guarantee a regular cash flow from the foundation's assets.

- The board of trustees should ensure that foundation funds and tangible assets received during and after its establishment have no connections to terrorism, money laundering, corruption or other criminal offences.
- A sound balance between a steady cash flow from the foundation's assets and the grants awarded should be ensured.

2 Investing Foundation Assets

RECOMMENDATION 21 THE INVESTMENT PROCESS

The investment of a foundation's assets should follow an explicit investment policy and investment strategy, thereby enabling foundation goals to be achieved efficiently.

- The investment process should be based on the investment policy and include three steps: determining the investment strategy, implementing the investment strategy, and overseeing investment results.
- The board of trustees should abide by a well-defined investment process.

3 Determining and Implementing an Investment Strategy

RECOMMENDATION 22

FOUNDATION-SPECIFIC INVESTMENT STRATEGIES

The investment strategy should reflect the foundation's capacity and willingness to take certain risks, with the board of trustees committing specific percentages of the foundation's assets to different risk levels through appropriate investments.

- The foundation's goals should determine the requirements for anticipated cash flow, liquidity and investment risk. These requirements then establish the target return for the foundation's investment strategy.
- The "risk carrying capacity" determines those projects that can be financed with the available assets. "Risk-willingness", in contrast, means the willingness to take on investment risks that could be avoided if lower returns on investment were accepted.
- The investment strategy characteristically consists of x% in liquid assets, y% risk-free or low-risk obligations in the currency needed for the support projects, and z% in investments in an international stock portfolio ($x+y+z=100$).
- The investment strategy should reflect the foundation purpose, not the personal preferences of the board of trustees.

RECOMMENDATION 23

ASSET MANAGEMENT BASED ON COMPETITIVE MARKET PRINCIPLES

While observing liquidity requirements, the foundation's investment strategy should be implemented at minimal cost and maximum performance. For this purpose, prior to investing, proposals should be sought from various asset managers, regardless of the amount of capital to be invested.

- The board of trustee's mandate for the investment strategy's implementation should be awarded on the basis of a competitive submission procedure.
- The cost of the investment strategy's implementation and the long-term management of investment capital should be made transparent and overseen closely. A distinction should be made between one-time and recurring costs.

4 Evaluating the Results of Investments

RECOMMENDATION 24 EXAMINATION OF ASSET INVESTMENTS

Asset investment results should be examined regularly by the foundation's board of trustees as part of a systematic investment review.

- Investment results should be evaluated at least twice a year. Investment strategies should be examined every two to three years.
- The results from the examination of investment returns and the investment strategy should be recorded in writing.

5 Establishing the Investment Organization

RECOMMENDATION 25 THE INVESTMENT PLAN

The board of trustees should establish an investment plan that corresponds to the need to invest the foundation's assets efficiently.

- The elements of the investment process and the investment plan should be established in the investment regulations.
- The investment plan should be established so that financial investment and its oversight are independent of one another, so that assets are invested in an efficient and cost-effective manner, and conflicts of interest are disclosed.
- If the foundation holds stock, the foundation's board of trustees should establish regulations for the exercising of shareholders' rights. These should also be included in the investment regulations.

6 Financial Management of the Foundation

RECOMMENDATION 26 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The board of trustees should administer the financial management of asset investment, budget planning, and the rendering of accounts.

- The foundation's board of trustees should generate a budget on the basis of its investment strategy and disbursement plan.
- The annual financial reports should provide a complete, accurate and transparent picture of the financial status of the foundation.
- The foundation's board should use the annual budget, financial reports and annual report as management and supervision tools.

Overview of International Foundation Governance Codes

Not only in Switzerland are endeavors towards increased governance in foundations underway. Numerous organizations worldwide have issued corresponding codes. Please refer to the table below for a selection of such codes as well as key data on various network organizations.

Reading example: The European Foundation Center was founded in 1989. This Center issued the code „Principles of Good Practice“. The most current version of this code dates back to the year 2006. It comprises six basic values and seven principles across three pages. The code can be downloaded from www.efc.be.

Remarks

- The tables are not exhaustive.
- The number of pages refers to the essential part of the code. Cover sheets, the table of contents, possible glossaries, etc. were not considered.

Legend

- ➔ The corresponding code is listed on the website indicated.

TRANSNATIONAL / CONTINENT			
	<i>Name of the organization (year of foundation) Structure and scope</i>	<i>Governance Code (most current version)</i>	<i>Website Download</i>
International	Worldwide Initiatives for Grantmaker Support (WINGS) (2000)		www.wingsweb.org
Europe	European Foundation Center (EFC) (1989)	Principles of Good Practice (2006) 6 basic values and 7 principles, 3 pages	www.efc.be ➡
	Donors and Foundations' Networks in Europe (DAFNE) (2006)		www.dafne-online.eu
United States	Council on Foundations (1949)		www.cof.org
Asia	Asia Pacific Philanthropy Consortium		www.asiapacificphilanthropy.org
EUROPE			
Austria	Verband Österreichischer Privatstiftungen (1997)		www.stiftungsverband.at
Belgium	Réseau Belge de Fondations (2004)	Basisverklaring van het Netwerk Belgische Stichtingen 6 principles, 1 page	www.netwerkstichtingen.be ➡
Bulgaria	Bulgarian Donors Forum (2003)	Code of Ethics of the Bulgarian Donors' Forum Association 3 basic principles and 10 principles, 1 page	www.dfbulgaria.org
Czech Republic	Czech Donors Forum (1996)	Code of Ethics for Foundations (2004) 14 principles, 2 pages Code of Ethics for Foundations without endowment (2004) 15 principles, 2 pages	www.donorsforum.cz
Finland	Säätiöpalvelu Council of Finnish Foundations (1970)	Best Practice in Foundation Management (2007) Guidelines for 6 thematic blocks, 3 pages	www.saatiopalvelu.fi

	<i>Name of the organization (year of foundation) Structure and scope</i>	<i>Governance Code (most current version)</i>	<i>Website Download</i>
France	Centre Français des Fondations (2002)		www.centre-francais-fondations.org
Germany	Bundesverband Deutscher Stiftungen (1948)	Grundsätze Guter Stiftungspraxis (2006) 10 principles, divided into 2 thematic blocks, 2 pages	www.stiftungen.org ⇒
Hungary	Hungarian Donors Forum (2006)		www.donorsforum.hu
Ireland	Philanthropy Ireland (1998)		www.philanthropy.ie
Italy	Associazione di Fondazioni e di Case di Risparmio (1912)		www.acri.it
Netherlands	Association of Foundations in the Netherlands (1988)	Code of Conduct (2004) 8 principles and 15 recommendations, 2 pages	www.verenigingvanfondsen.nl
Norway	Stiftelsesforeningen (2003)		www.mamut.net/stiftelsesforeningen
Poland	Polish Donors Forum (2004)	Standards of operation 6 principles, 1 page	www.forumdarczyncow.pl ⇒
	Local Philanthropic Organisation Network and Academy for the Development of Philanthropy (1998)	Standards of Operation of a Polish Local Philanthropic Organisation (2003) 28 principles, 1 page	www.filantropia.org.pl
Portugal	Centro Português de Fundações (1993)		www.cpf.org.pt
Rumania	Forumul Donatorilor din Romania (1999)		www.forumuldonatorilor.ro
Russia	Russia Donors Forum (2002)	Code of Ethics for the Russia Donors Forum (2001) 11 principles, divided into 2 thematic blocks, 1 page	www.donorsforum.ru ⇒
Slovakia	Slovak Donors' Forum (2000)	Donor's Code of Ethics (2000) 11 guidelines, 2 pages	www.donorsforum.sk ⇒
	Association of Slovak Community Foundations (2003)	Standards for Community Foundations in Slovakia (2008) 36 guidelines, divided into 7 thematic blocks, 3 pages	www.asociaciakns.sk

	<i>Name of the organization (year of foundation) Structure and scope</i>	<i>Governance Code (most current version)</i>	<i>Website Download</i>
Spain	Asociacion Espanola de Fundaciones (2003)	Principios (2008) Guidelines, divided into 9 thematic blocks, 8 pages	www.fundaciones.org ➡
Switzerland	SwissFoundations (2001)	Swiss Foundation Code (2009) 3 principles, 26 recommendations with conduct guidelines, divided into 4 thematic blocks, 99 pages Available in German, French and English	www.swissfoundations.ch
	Verein Swiss NPO-Code (2008)	Swiss NPO-Code (2006) 29 definitions, divided into 7 thematic blocks, 3 pages	www.swiss-npocode.ch
Turkey	Third Sector Foundation of Turkey (1993)		www.tusev.org.tr
Ukraine	Ukrainian Grant Makers Forum (2005)	Ethics Code 9 guidelines, 1 page	www.donorsforum.org.ua
United Kingdom	Association of Charitable Foundations (2005)	Guidelines for funders of voluntary organizations (2007) Guidelines divided into 8 thematic blocks, 3 pages	www.acf.org.uk
	Community Foundation Network (1991)	Quality Marks (2005) 18 principles	www.communityfoundations.org.uk
UNITED STATES			
California	Northern California Grantmakers (1965)	Effectiveness and Accountability Principles 8 principles, comprising brief explanations and recommendations for action, 5 pages	www.ncg.org ➡
Canada	Imagine Canada (2005)	Ethical Code Handbook 30 principles, divided into 3 thematic blocks, 3 pages	www.imaginecanada.ca ➡
	Philanthropic Foundations Canada (1992)	Statement of Values and Ethical Principles 6 principles, 1 page	www.pfc.ca ➡
	Community Foundations of Canada (1992)	Principles for Community Foundations 10 principles, 1 page	www.cfc-fcc.ca ➡

	<i>Name of the organization (year of foundation) Structure and scope</i>	<i>Governance Code (most current version)</i>	<i>Website Download</i>
Illinois	Donors Forum of Chicago (1974)	Illinois Nonprofit Principles and Best Practices 10 principles with conduct guidelines, divided into 5 thematic blocks, 7 pages	www.donorsforum.org ➡
Minnesota	Minnesota Council on Foundations (1969)	Principles for Grantmakers 8 principles, 24 pages	www.mcf.org ➡
New York	Philanthropy New York (1979)	Principles for Good Governance and Ethical Practices 33 principles with detailed explanations, divided into 4 thematic topics, 21 pages	www.philanthropynewyork.org
Washington	Washington Regional Association of Grantmakers (1992)	Statement of Principles 5 principles, with brief explanations and recommendations for action, 1 page	www.washingtongrantmakers.org ➡
OTHER COUNTRY ORGANIZATIONS			
Africa	East Africa Association of Grantmakers (2003)	Code of Ethics 11 principles, 1 page	www.eaag.org ➡
Argentina	Grupo de Fundaciones y Empresas (1995)	Valores y Principios insitucionales 14 principles, 1 page	www.gdfe.org.ar ➡
Australia	Philanthropy Australia (1987)	Code of Practice 3 principles, recommendations for action, divided into 2 thematic topics, 3 pages	www.philanthropy.org.au ➡
Brazil	Grupo de Institutos Fundações e Empresas (1995)	Code of Ethics 20 principles, divided into 6 thematic blocs, 1 page	www.gife.org.br ➡
Mexico	Centro Mexicana para la Filantropia (1988)	Institutionality and Transparency Index 10 principles, with brief explanations, 1 page	www.cemefi.org ➡

Buchreihe «Foundation Governance»

Herausgegeben von *SwissFoundations*

www.swissfoundations.ch

Vol. 1

Egger, Philipp (Hrsg.)

Stiftungsparadies Schweiz – Zahlen, Fakten und Visionen

Zwischen gemeinnützigem und unternehmerischen Handeln

(Préface et résumés en français)

2004, 176 Seiten, broschiert

ISBN 978-3-7190-2309-6 (vergriffen)

Vol. 2

Hofstetter, Karl/Sprecher, Thomas

Swiss Foundation Code

Empfehlungen zur Gründung und Führung von Förderstiftungen

Recommandations pour la constitution et la gestion de fondations donatrices

Recommendations for the establishment and management of grant-making foundations

2005, 131 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 34.–/EUR 22.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-2393-5 (vergriffen)

Vol. 3

Egger, Philipp/Helmig, Bernd/Purtschert, Robert (Hrsg.)

Stiftung und Gesellschaft

Eine komparative Analyse des Stiftungsstandortes Schweiz

mit Deutschland, Liechtenstein, Österreich, USA

2006, 223 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 48.–/EUR 32.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-2606-6

Vol. 4

Lang, Niklas/Schnieper, Peppi

Professionelles Management von Stiftungen

Ein Leitfaden für Stiftungspraktiker

2007, 238 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 68.–/EUR 45.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-2741-4

Vol. 5

Sprecher, Thomas/Egger, Philipp/Janssen, Martin

Swiss Foundation Code 2009 – mit Kommentar

Grundsätze und Empfehlungen zur Gründung und Führung von Förderstiftungen

2009, 197 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 48.–/EUR 32.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-2617-2

Vol. 6

Sprecher, Thomas/Egger, Philipp/Janssen, Martin

Swiss Foundation Code 2009 – avec commentaire

Principes et recommandations pour la constitution et la gestion de fondations donatrices

Adaption française: Dr. Parisima Vez

2009, 197 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 48.–/EUR 32.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-2832-9

in Vorbereitung /en préparation

Vol. 7

von Schnurbein, Georg/Timmer, Karsten

Die Förderstiftung

Strategie – Führung – Management

2010, 318 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 78.–/EUR 56.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-2953-1

Vol. 8

Egger/von Schnurbein/Zöbeli/Koss

Rechnungslegung und Revision von Förderstiftungen

Handlungsempfehlungen für die Praxis

2011, 200 Seiten, broschiert. CHF 58.–/EUR 46.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-3057-5

Vol. 9

Sprecher/Egger/Janssen

Swiss Foundation Code 2009

Principles and Recommendations for the Establishment
and Management of Grant-making Foundations

2011, 60 Seiten, broschiert. CHF XX.–/EUR XX.–

ISBN 978-3-7190-3089-6

HELBING LICHTENHAHN VERLAG

www.helbing.ch

Foundation Governance

Series edited by

Philipp Egger and Georg von Schnurbein

Swiss Foundations

«With the ongoing growth of the European foundation sector comes a need for foundations to establish their transparency and demonstrate their credibility and impact. I applaud SwissFoundations for initiating self-regulation through this Code. It is noteworthy that while applying general standards, the Code also grants the flexibility for foundations to find their own solutions to the issues they face.»

Gerry Salole, Chief Executive, European Foundation Centre

«The Swiss Foundation Code 2009 marks the further development of the first European Good Governance Code for grant-making foundations, first published in 2005. It is a highly useful tool to improve the quality of grant-making work in the interest of all stakeholders – institutions and beneficiaries alike. Its flexible order takes into due account the variety of tasks entrusted to charitable foundations.»

Prof. Dr. Peter Forstmoser, Vice Chairman of the GEBERT RÜF STIFTUNG, Switzerland

«The Swiss Foundation Code provides an important framework for the solving of complex tax and management issues within organizations. It delivers to grant-making foundations, a modern approach towards foundation management and thus contributes to reinforcing the sustainable and international reputation of the Swiss foundation sector.»

Prof. Dr. Georg von Schnurbein, Director of the Centre for Philanthropy Studies (CEPS) of the University of Basel

ISBN 978-3-7190-3089-6



SwissFoundations
Verband der Schweizer Förderstiftungen
Association des fondations donatrices suisses
Associazione delle fondazioni donatrici svizzere
Association of Swiss Grant-making Foundations

